arXiv:1701.00621v1 [math.RA] 3 Jan 2017

*-DMP elements in $*$-semigroups and $*$-rings

Yuefeng Gao*, Jianlong Chen†, Yuanyuan Ke‡
Department of Mathematics, Southeast University,
Nanjing 210096, China

Abstract: In this paper, we investigate *-DMP elements in $*$-semigroups and $*$-rings. The notion of *-DMP element was introduced by Patrício in 2004. An element a is *-DMP if there exists a positive integer m such that a^m is EP. We first characterize *-DMP elements in terms of the {1,3}-inverse, Drazin inverse and pseudo core inverse, respectively. Then, we give the pseudo core decomposition utilizing the pseudo core inverse, which extends the core-EP decomposition introduced by Wang for matrices to an arbitrary $*$-ring; and this decomposition turns to be a useful tool to characterize *-DMP elements. Further, we extend Wang's core-EP order from matrices to $*$-rings and use it to investigate *-DMP elements. Finally, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for two elements a, b in $*$-rings to have $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}$, which contribute to investigate *-DMP elements.

Keywords: *-DMP element; pseudo core inverse; core-EP decomposition; core-EP order

AMS Subject Classifications: 15A09; 16W10

1 Introduction

Let S and R denote a semigroup and a ring with unit 1, respectively.

An element $a \in S$ is Drazin invertible [5] if there exists the unique element $a^D \in S$ such that

$$a^m a^D a = a^m \text{ for some positive integer } m, \quad a^D a a^D = a^D \text{ and } \quad a a^D = a^D a.$$

The smallest positive integer m satisfying above equations is called the Drazin index of a. We denote by a^{D_m} the Drazin inverse of index m of a. If the Drazin index of a equals one, then the Drazin inverse of a is called the group inverse of a and is denoted by $a^{\#}$.

S is called a $*$-semigroup if S is a semigroup with involution $*$. R is called a $*$-ring if R is a ring with involution $*$. In the following, unless otherwise indicated, S and R denote a $*$-semigroup and a $*$-ring, respectively.

An element $a \in S$ is Moore-Penrose invertible, if there exists $x \in S$ such that

$$(1)\ axa = a, \quad (2)\ xax = x, \quad (3)\ (ax)^* = ax \quad \text{and} \quad (4)\ (xa)^* = xa.$$

*E-mail: yfgao91@163.com
†Corresponding author. E-mail: jlchen@seu.edu.cn
‡E-mail: keyy086@126.com

If such x exists, then it is unique, denoted by $a^\dagger$. x satisfying equations (1) and (3) is called a $\{1,3\}$-inverse of a, denoted by $a^{(1,3)}$. Such $\{1,3\}$-inverse of a is not unique if it exists. We use $a\{1,3\}$, $S^{\{1,3\}}$ to denote the set of all the $\{1,3\}$-inverses of a and the set of all the $\{1,3\}$-invertible elements in S, respectively.

An element $a \in S$ is symmetric if $a^* = a$. $a \in S$ is EP if $a^\#$ and $a^\dagger$ exists with $a^\# = a^\dagger$. $a \in S$ is *-DMP with index m if m is the smallest positive integer such that $(a^m)^\#$ and $(a^m)^\dagger$ exist with $(a^m)^\# = (a^m)^\dagger$ [18]. In other words, $a \in S$ is *-DMP with index m if m is the smallest positive integer such that a^m is EP. We call $a \in S$ *-DMP if there exists a positive integer m such that a^m is EP.

Baksalary and Trenkler [17] introduced the notion of core inverse for complex matrices in 2010. Then, Rakić, Dinčić and Djordjević [20] generalized this notion to an arbitrary $*$-ring. Later, Xu, Chen and Zhang [25] characterized the core invertible elements in $*$-rings in terms of three equations. The core inverse of a is the unique solution to equations

$$xa^2 = a, \quad ax^2 = x, \quad (ax)^* = ax.$$

The pseudo core inverse [7] of $a \in S$ is the unique element $a^{\textcircled{D}} \in S$ satisfying the following three equations

$$a^{\textcircled{D}} a^{m+1} = a^m \text{ for some positive integer } m,\ a(a^{\textcircled{D}})^2 = a^{\textcircled{D}} \text{ and } (aa^{\textcircled{D}})^* = aa^{\textcircled{D}}.$$

The smallest positive integer m satisfying above equations is called the pseudo core index of a, denoted by $I(a)$. The pseudo core inverse is a kind of outer inverse. If the pseudo core index equals one, then the pseudo core inverse of a is the core inverse of a, denoted by $a^{\textcircled{\#}}$. The pseudo core inverse extends core-EP inverse [12] from matrices to $*$-semigroups (see [7]).

Dually, the dual pseudo core inverse [7] of $a \in S$ is the unique element $a_{\textcircled{D}} \in S$ satisfying the following three equations

$$a^{m+1} a_{\textcircled{D}} = a^m \text{ for some positive integer } m,\ (a_{\textcircled{D}})^2 a = a_{\textcircled{D}} \text{ and } (a_{\textcircled{D}} a)^* = a_{\textcircled{D}} a.$$

The smallest positive integer m satisfying above equations is called the dual pseudo core index of a. We denote by $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ and $a_{\textcircled{D}_m}$ the pseudo core inverse and dual pseudo core inverse of index m of a, respectively. Note that $(a^*)^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists if and only if $a_{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists with $(a^*)^{\textcircled{D}_m} = (a_{\textcircled{D}_m})^*$.

Wang [22] introduced the core-EP decomposition and core-EP order for complex matrices by applying the core-EP inverse.

In this paper, we mainly characterize *-DMP elements in terms of the pseudo core inverse. In Section 2, we first give several characterizations of *-DMP elements in terms of the {1,3}-inverse, Drazin inverse and pseudo core inverse respectively. Then, we characterize *-DMP elements in terms of equations and annihilators. At last, we consider conditions for the sum (resp. product) of two *-DMP elements to be *-DMP. In section 3, we extend the core-EP decomposition from complex matrices to an arbitrary $*$-ring and name it pseudo core decomposition, since it seems no connection with EP in $*$-rings, but closely related with the pseudo core inverse. As applications, we use it to characterize *-DMP elements. In Section 4,

we introduce the pseudo core order, which extends the core-EP order from complex matrices to an arbitrary $*$-ring. Then, we use this pre-order to investigate *-DMP elements. In final section, we aim to give equivalent conditions for $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}$ in $*$-rings, which contribute to investigate *-DMP elements.

2 Characterizations of *-DMP elements

EP elements are widely investigated in $*$-semigroups and $*$-rings (see [3], [4], [9], [10], [14], [15], [18]). In this section, we give several characterizations of *-DMP elements. We begin with some auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [7] *Let $a \in S$. Then we have the following facts:*
(1) $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ *exists if and only if* a^{D_m} *exists and* $a^m \in S^{\{1,3\}}$. *In this case* $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{D_m}a^m(a^m)^{(1,3)}$.
(2) $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ *and* $a_{\textcircled{D}_m}$ *exist if and only if* a^{D_m} *and* $(a^m)^\dagger$ *exist. In this case,* $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{D_m}a^m(a^m)^\dagger$ *and* $a_{\textcircled{D}_m} = (a^m)^\dagger a^m a^{D_m}$.

Lemma 2.2. [11],[18] *Let $a \in S$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(1) *a is *-DMP with index m;*
(2) a^{D_m} *exists and* aa^{D_m} *is symmetric.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $a \in S$. Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) *a is *-DMP with index m;*
(2) a^{D_m} *and* $(a^m)^\dagger$ *exist with* $(a^{D_m})^m = (a^m)^\dagger$;
(3) $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ *exists with* $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{D_m}$;
(4) $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ *and* $(a^m)^\dagger$ *exist with* $(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^m = (a^m)^\dagger$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Suppose a is *-DMP with index m, then m is the smallest positive integer such that $(a^m)^\dagger = (a^m)^\#$. So, a^{D_m} exists with $(a^{D_m})^m = (a^m)^\# = (a^m)^\dagger$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Suppose a^{D_m} and $(a^m)^\dagger$ exist with $(a^{D_m})^m = (a^m)^\dagger$. By Lemma 2.1, $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists with $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{D_m}a^m(a^m)^\dagger = a^{D_m}a^m(a^{D_m})^m = a^{D_m}$.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Applying Lemma 2.1, $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists if and only if a^{D_m} exists and $a^m \in S^{\{1,3\}}$, in which case, $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{D_m}a^m(a^m)^{(1,3)}$. From $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{D_m}$, it follows that $a^{D_m}a^m(a^m)^{(1,3)} = a^{D_m}$. Then, $aa^{D_m} = a^m(a^m)^{(1,3)}$. So, $(a^m)^\dagger$ exists with $(a^m)^\dagger = (a^{D_m})^m = (a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^m$.
(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Since $(a^{D_m})^m a^m(a^m)^{(1,3)} = (a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^m = (a^m)^\dagger$, then $aa^{D_m} = (a^m)^\dagger a^m$. Therefore aa^{D_m} is symmetric. Hence a is *-DMP with index m by Lemma 2.2. □

The following result characterizes *-DMP elements in terms of $\{1,3\}$-inverses.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $a \in S$. Then a is *-DMP with index m if and only if m is the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in S^{\{1,3\}}$ and one of the following equivalent conditions holds:*
(1) $a(a^m)^{(1,3)} = (a^m)^{(1,3)}a$ *for some* $(a^m)^{(1,3)} \in a^m\{1,3\}$;
(2) $a^m(a^m)^{(1,3)} = (a^m)^{(1,3)}a^m$ *for some* $(a^m)^{(1,3)} \in a^m\{1,3\}$.

Proof. If a is *-DMP with index m, then m is the smallest positive integer such that $(a^m)^\dagger$ and $(a^m)^\#$ exist with $(a^m)^\dagger = (a^m)^\#$. So we may regard $(a^m)^\#$ as one of the $\{1,3\}$-inverses of a^m. Therefore (1) holds (see [5, Theorem 1]).

Conversely, we take $(a^m)^{(1,3)} \in a^m\{1,3\}$.
(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is obvious.
(2). Equality $a^m(a^m)^{(1,3)} = (a^m)^{(1,3)}a^m$ yields that $(a^m)^\dagger = (a^m)^{(1,3)}a^m(a^m)^{(1,3)} = (a^m)^\#$. So m is the smallest positive integer such that $(a^m)^\dagger = (a^m)^\#$. Hence a is $*$-DMP with index m. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let $a \in S$. Then a is EP if and only if $a \in S^{\{1,3\}}$ and $aa^{(1,3)} = a^{(1,3)}a$ for some $a^{(1,3)} \in a\{1,3\}$.*

In [11, Theorem 7.3], Koliha and Patrício characterized EP elements by using the group inverse. Similarly, we characterize *-DMP elements in terms of the Drazin inverse.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $a \in S$. Then a is *-DMP with index m if and only if a^{D_m} exists and one of the following equivalent conditions holds:*
(1) $a^{D_m} = a^{D_m}(aa^{D_m})^*$;
(2) $a^{D_m} = (a^{D_m}a)^*a^{D_m}$.
If S is a $*$-ring, then (1)-(2) *are equivalent to*
(3) $a^{D_m}(1 - aa^{D_m})^* = (1 - aa^{D_m})(a^{D_m})^*$.

Proof. If a is *-DMP with index m, then a^{D_m} exists and aa^{D_m} is symmetric by Lemma 2.2. It is not difficult to verify that conditions (1)-(3) hold.

Conversely, we assume that a^{D_m} exists.
(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Since $a^{D_m} = a^{D_m}(aa^{D_m})^*$, we have

$$a^{D_m}(1 - aa^{D_m})^* = a^{D_m}(aa^{D_m})^*(1 - aa^{D_m})^* = a^{D_m}((1 - aa^{D_m})aa^{D_m})^* = 0.$$

Therefore $a^{D_m}(1 - aa^{D_m})^* = 0 = (1 - aa^{D_m})(a^{D_m})^*$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is analogous to (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

Finally, we will prove a is *-DMP with index m under the assumption that a^{D_m} exists with $a^{D_m}(1-aa^{D_m})^* = (1-aa^{D_m})(a^{D_m})^*$. From $a^{D_m}(1-a^*(a^{D_m})^*) = (1-a^{D_m}a)(a^{D_m})^*$, we get $(a^{D_m})^* = a^{D_m}(1-a^*(a^{D_m})^*+a(a^{D_m})^*)$. Post-multiply this equality by $(a^{D_m})^*(a^2)^*$, then we have $aa^{D_m} = aa^{D_m}(aa^{D_m})^*$. So aa^{D_m} is symmetric. Applying Lemma 2.2, a is *-DMP with index m. □

Let us recall that $a \in S$ is normal if $aa^* = a^*a$. It is known that an element $a \in S$ is EP may not imply it is normal (such as, take $S = \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ with transpose as involution, $a = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then a is EP since $aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a = 1$, but $aa^* = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \neq \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = a^*a$); a is normal may not imply it is EP (such as, take $S = \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ with transpose as involution, $a = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} i & 1 \\ -1 & i \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then $aa^* = a^*a = 0$, i.e., a is normal. But a is not Moore-Penrose invertible and hence a is not EP). So we may be of interest to know when a is both EP and normal. Here we give a more extensive case.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $a \in S$. Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) *a is *-DMP with index m and $a(a^*)^m = (a^*)^m a$;*
(2) *m is the smallest positive integer such that $(a^m)^\dagger$ exists and $a(a^*)^m = (a^*)^m a$;*
(3) *a^{D_m} exists and $(a^m)^* = ua = au$ for some group invertible element $u \in S$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is clear.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). The equality $a^m(a^m)^* = (a^m)^* a^m$ ensures that $a^m(a^m)^\dagger = (a^m)^\dagger a^m$ (see [8, Theorem 5]). So a is *-DMP with index m by Theorem 2.4.
(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Since a is *-DMP with index m, then a^{D_m} exists and aa^{D_m} is symmetric by Lemma 2.2. So,

$$(a^m)^* = (a^m a^{D_m} a)^* = aa^{D_m}(a^m)^*, \text{ and}$$
$$(a^m)^* = (aa^{D_m} a^m)^* = (a^m)^* aa^{D_m}.$$

Since a^{D_m} exists and $(a^m)^* a = a(a^m)^*$, then we obtain $a^{D_m}(a^m)^* = (a^m)^* a^{D_m}$ (see [5, Theorem 1]). Take $u = a^{D_m}(a^m)^*$, then $au = ua = (a^m)^*$. In what follows, we show $u^\# = a((a^{D_m})^m)^*$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i) } ua((a^{D_m})^m)^* u &= a^{D_m}(a^m)^* a((a^{D_m})^m)^* a^{D_m}(a^m)^* = (a^m)^* aa^{D_m}((a^{D_m})^m)^* a^{D_m}(a^m)^* \\
&= (a^m)^*((a^{D_m})^m)^* a^{D_m}(a^m)^* = (aa^{D_m})^* a^{D_m}(a^m)^* = a^{D_m}(a^m)^* = u;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(ii) } a((a^{D_m})^m)^* ua((a^{D_m})^m)^* &= a((a^{D_m})^m)^* a^{D_m}(a^m)^* a((a^{D_m})^m)^* \\
&= a((a^{D_m})^m)^*(a^m)^* a^{D_m} a((a^{D_m})^m)^* \\
&= a(aa^{D_m})^* a^{D_m} a((a^{D_m})^m)^* = a(aa^{D_m})^*((a^{D_m})^m)^* \\
&= a((a^{D_m})^m)^*;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(iii) } a((a^{D_m})^m)^* u &= a((a^{D_m})^m)^* a^{D_m}(a^m)^* = a((a^{D_m})^m)^*(a^m)^* a^{D_m} = a(aa^{D_m})^* a^{D_m} \\
&= aa^{D_m} \text{ and} \\
ua((a^{D_m})^m)^* &= a^{D_m}(a^m)^* a((a^{D_m})^m)^* = a^{D_m} a(a^m)^*((a^{D_m})^m)^* = aa^{D_m}, \\
\text{so, } a((a^{D_m})^m)^* u &= ua((a^{D_m})^m)^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $u^\# = a((a^{D_m})^m)^*$.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Since $u^\#$ and a^{D_m} exist with $au = ua$, then $au^\# = u^\# a$ and $(ua)^D = u^\# a^{D_m}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{So, } (aa^{D_m})^* &= (a^m(a^{D_m})^m)^* = ((a^m)^D a^m)^* = (a^m)^*((a^m)^*)^D = ua(ua)^D = uau^\# a^{D_m} \\
&= uu^\# aa^D.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(aa^{D_m})^* aa^{D_m} = uu^\# aa^{D_m} = (aa^{D_m})^*$. That is, aa^{D_m} is symmetric.
We thus have a is *-DMP with index m. □

Corollary 2.8. *Let $a \in S$. Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) *a is EP and normal;*
(2) *$a^\dagger$ exists and a is normal;*
(3) *$a^\#$ exists and $a^* = ua = au$ for some group invertible element $u \in S$.*

In what follows, *-DMP elements are characterized in terms of the pseudo core inverse and dual pseudo core inverse.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $a \in S$. Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) *a is *-DMP with index m;*
(2) *$a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ and $a_{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exist with $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a_{\textcircled{D}_m}$;*
(3) *$a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ and $a_{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exist with $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), (3). If a is *-DMP with index m, then by Lemma 2.3, a^{D_m} and $(a^m)^\dagger$ exist with $(a^m)^\dagger = (a^{D_m})^m$. Hence $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ and $a_{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exist by Lemma 2.1 (2). It is not difficult to verify that $a_{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ and $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). If $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ and $a_{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exist, then a^{D_m} and $(a^m)^\dagger$ exist with $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{D_m}a^m(a^m)^\dagger$, $a_{\textcircled{D}_m} = (a^m)^\dagger a^m a^{D_m}$. Equality $a_{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ would imply that $a^{D_m}a^m(a^m)^\dagger = (a^m)^\dagger a^m a^{D_m}$. Post-multiply this equality by $a^{m+1}(a^{D_m})^m$, then we obtain $aa^{D_m} = (a^m)^\dagger a^m$. So aa^{D_m} is symmetric. According to Lemma 2.2, a is *-DMP with index m.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). By the hypothesis, we have $aa^{D_m}a^m(a^m)^\dagger = (a^m)^\dagger a^m a^{D_m}a$. That is, $a^m(a^m)^\dagger = (a^m)^\dagger a^m$. So $aa^{D_m} = a^m(a^{D_m})^m = a^m(a^m)^\dagger a^m(a^{D_m})^m = (a^m)^\dagger a^m a^m(a^{D_m})^m = (a^m)^\dagger a^m$. Therefore aa^{D_m} is symmetric. Hence a is *-DMP with index m. □

The following result characterizes *-DMP elements merely in terms of the pseudo core inverse.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $a \in S$. Then a is *-DMP with index m if and only if $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists and one of the following equivalent conditions holds:*
(1) *$aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$;*
(2) *$a^{D_m}a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a^{D_m}$;*
(3) *$a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = (a^m)^{(1,3)}a^m a^{D_m}$ for some $(a^m)^{(1,3)} \in a^m\{1,3\}$;*
(4) *$a^{m+1}a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^m$;*
(5) *$(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^2 a = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$;*
(6) *$a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$ is symmetric;*
(7) *$aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ commutes with $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$.*

Proof. If a is *-DMP with index m, then $(a^{D_m})^m = (a^m)^\dagger$, $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{D_m}$ by Lemma 2.3 and aa^{D_m} is symmetric by Lemma 2.2. So (1)-(7) hold.

Conversely, we assume that $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists.
(1). By the definition of the pseudo core inverse, we have $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a^{m+1} = a^m$, and we also have $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ by calculation. The equalities $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$, $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ and $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a^{m+1} = a^m$ yield that $a^{D_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$. Therefore a is *-DMP with index m by Lemma 2.3.
(2). Since $a^{D_m}a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a^{D_m}$, then $(a^{D_m})^\# a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}(a^{D_m})^\#$ (see [5, Theorem 1]). Namely,

$$a^2a^{D_m}a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a^2a^{D_m}.$$

So $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^m(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^m = aa^{D_m}a^m(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^m = aa^{D_m}aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^2a^{D_m}a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a^2a^{D_m}$
$= a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a^{m+1}(a^{D_m})^m = a^m(a^{D_m})^m = aa^{D_m}$.

Therefore aa^{D_m} is symmetric. Hence a is *-DMP with index m by Lemma 2.2.
(3). Since $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists, then by Lemma 2.1 (1), a^{D_m} and $(a^m)^{(1,3)}$ exist. From equality (3) and $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{D_m}a^m(a^m)^{(1,3)}$, it follows that $a^{D_m}a^m(a^m)^{(1,3)} = (a^m)^{(1,3)}a^m a^{D_m}$. Pre-multiply this equality by $(a^{D_m})^{m-1}a^m$, then we get

$$a^m(a^m)^{(1,3)} = aa^{D_m}.$$

So aa^{D_m} is symmetric. Hence a is *-DMP with index m by Lemma 2.2.
(4). The equalities $a^{m+1}a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^m$ and $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a^{m+1} = a^m$ yield that a is strongly π-regular and $a^{D_m} = a^m(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^{m+1} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ (see [5, Theorem 4]). So a is *-DMP with index m by Lemma 2.3.
(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Pre-multiply (5) by a, then we get $a(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^2a = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}$. That is, $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}$.
(6) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Pre-multiply $(a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a)^* = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$ by $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}$, then we obtain

$$aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}(a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a)^* = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a.$$

So $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^m(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^m = (a^m(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^m)^* = (a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a^{m+1}(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^m)^* = (a^{\textcircled{D}_m}aaa^{\textcircled{D}_m})^*$
$= (aa^{\textcircled{D}_m})^*(a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a)^* = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}(a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a)^* = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a.$
(7) $\Rightarrow$ (1). From $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}(a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a) = (a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a)aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}$, $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}(a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a) = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$ and $(a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a)aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a^{m+1}(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^m = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}$, it follows that $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$. □

In [24], Xu and Chen characterized EP elements in terms of equations. Similarly, we utilize equations to characterize *-DMP elements.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $a \in S$. Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) *a is *-DMP with index m;*
(2) *m is the smallest positive integer such that $xa^{m+1} = a^m$, $ax^2 = x$ and $(x^ma^m)^* = x^ma^m$ for some $x \in S$;*
(3) *m is the smallest positive integer such that $xa^{m+1} = a^m$, $ax = xa$ and $(x^ma^m)^* = x^ma^m$ for some $x \in S$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), (3). Suppose a is *-DMP with index m, then a^{D_m} exists and $a^{D_m}a$ is symmetric by Lemma 2.2. Take $x = a^{D_m}$, then (2) and (3) hold.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). From $xa^{m+1} = a^m$ and $a^m = xa^{m+1} = a^{m+1}x^{m+1}a^m$, it follows that a is strongly π-regular and $a^{D_m} = x^{m+1}a^m$. So $aa^{D_m} = ax^{m+1}a^m = x^ma^m$. Therefore a^{D_m} exists and aa^{D_m} is symmetric. Hence a is *-DMP with index m by Lemma 2.2.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Equalities $xa^{m+1} = a^m$ and $a^m = a^{m+1}x$ yield that $a^{D_m} = x^{m+1}a^m$. So $a^{D_m}a = x^{m+1}a^{m+1} = x^ma^m$. Therefore a^{D_m} exists and aa^{D_m} is symmetric. Hence a is *-DMP with index m. □

Let S^0 denote a $*$-semigroup with zero element 0. The left annihilator of $a \in S^0$ is denoted by $^\circ a$ and is defined by $^\circ a = \{x \in S^0 : xa = 0\}$. The following result characterizes *-DMP elements in S^0 in terms of left annihilators. We begin with an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 2.12. [7] *Let $a,\ x \in S^0$. Then $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = x$ if and only if m is the smallest positive integer such that one of the following equivalent conditions holds:*
(1) *$xax = x$ and $xS^0 = x^*S^0 = a^mS^0$;*
(2) *$xax = x$, $^\circ x = {}^\circ(a^m)$ and $^\circ(x^*) \subseteq {}^\circ(a^m)$.*

Theorem 2.13. *Let $a \in S^0$. Then a is *-DMP with index m if and only if m is the smallest positive integer such that one of the following equivalent conditions holds:*
(1) *$xax = x,\ xS^0 = x^*S^0 = a^mS^0$ and $x^mS^0 = (a^m)^*S^0$ for some $x \in S^0$;*
(2) *$xax = x$, $^\circ x = {}^\circ(a^m)$, $^\circ(x^*) \subseteq {}^\circ(a^m)$ and $^\circ(a^m)^* \subseteq {}^\circ(x^m)$ for some $x \in S^0$.*

Proof. Suppose a is *-DMP with index m. Then $a^{\textcircled{D}_m},\ (a^m)^\dagger$ exist with $(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^m = (a^m)^\dagger$ by Lemma 2.3. Take $x = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$, then $xax = x,\ xS^0 = x^*S^0 = a^mS^0$ by Lemma 2.12. Further, from $x^m = (a^m)^\dagger$, it follows that $x^m = (x^ma^m)^*x^m = (a^m)^*(x^m)^*x^m \in (a^m)^*S^0$ and $(a^m)^* = (a^mx^ma^m)^* = x^ma^m(a^m)^* \in x^mS^0$. Hence (1) holds.
(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is clear.
(2). From $xax = x$, $^\circ x = {}^\circ(a^m)$ and $^\circ(x^*) \subseteq {}^\circ(a^m)$, it follows that $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = x$ by Lemma 2.12. Then $1 - (x^ma^m)^* \in {}^\circ(a^m)^* \subseteq {}^\circ(x^m)$ implies $x^m = (x^ma^m)^*x^m$. So $x^ma^m = (x^ma^m)^*x^ma^m$. Therefore $(x^ma^m)^* = x^ma^m$, together with $xa^{m+1} = a^m,\ ax^2 = x$, implies a is *-DMP with index m by Theorem 2.11. □

It is known that a^D exists if and only if $(a^k)^D$ exists for any positive integer k if and only if $(a^k)^D$ exists for some positive integer k [5]. We find this property is inherited by *-DMP.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $a \in S$ and k a positive integer, then a is *-DMP if and only if a^k is *-DMP.*

Proof. Observe that a^D exists and aa^D is symmetric if and only if $(a^k)^D$ exists and $a^k(a^k)^D$ is symmetric. So a is *-DMP if and only if a^k is *-DMP by Lemma 2.2. □

Given two *-DMP elements a and b, we may be of interest to consider conditions for the product ab (resp. sum $a + b$) to be *-DMP.

Theorem 2.15. *Let $a,\ b \in S$ with $ab = ba,\ ab^* = b^*a$. If both a and b are *-DMP, then ab is *-DMP.*

Proof. Suppose that both a and b are *-DMP, then $a^{\textcircled{D}},\ a^D$ and $b^{\textcircled{D}},\ b^D$ exist with $a^{\textcircled{D}} = a^D$, $b^{\textcircled{D}} = b^D$ by Lemma 2.3. Since $a^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $b^{\textcircled{D}}$ exist with $ab = ba,\ ab^* = b^*a$, then $(ab)^{\textcircled{D}}$ exists with $(ab)^{\textcircled{D}} = a^{\textcircled{D}}b^{\textcircled{D}}$ (see [7, Theorem 4.3]). Also, $(ab)^D$ exists with $(ab)^D = a^Db^D$ (see [26, Lemma 2]). So,

$$(ab)^{\textcircled{D}} = a^{\textcircled{D}}b^{\textcircled{D}} = a^Db^D = (ab)^D.$$

Hence ab is *-DMP by Lemma 2.3. □

Theorem 2.16. *Let $a,\ b \in R$ with $ab = ba = 0,\ a^*b = 0$. If both a and b are *-DMP, then $a + b$ is *-DMP.*

Proof. If both a and b are *-DMP, then $a^{\textcircled{D}},\ a^D$ and $b^{\textcircled{D}},\ b^D$ exist with $a^{\textcircled{D}} = a^D$, $b^{\textcircled{D}} = b^D$ by Lemma 2.3. Since $a^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $b^{\textcircled{D}}$ exist with $ab = ba = 0,\ a^*b = 0$, then $(a+b)^{\textcircled{D}}$ exists with $(a+b)^{\textcircled{D}} = a^{\textcircled{D}} + b^{\textcircled{D}}$ (see [7, Theorem 4.4]). Also, $(a+b)^D$ exists with $(a+b)^D = a^D + b^D$ (see [5, Corollary 1]). So we have

$$(a+b)^{\textcircled{D}} = a^{\textcircled{D}} + b^{\textcircled{D}} = a^D + b^D = (a+b)^D.$$

Hence $a + b$ is *-DMP by Lemma 2.3. □

Example 2.17. *The condition $ab = 0,\ a^*b = 0$ (without $ba = 0$) is not sufficient to show that $a + b$ is *-DMP, although both a and b are *-DMP.*

*Let $R = \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ with transpose as involution, $a = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $ab = a^*b = 0$, but $ba \neq 0$. Since $a^{\textcircled{D}} = a^{\textcircled{\#}} = a^{\#}aa^{(1,3)} = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = a^{\#} = a^D$, a is *-DMP. It is clear that b is *-DMP. Observe that $a+b = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, by calculation, we find that neither $a+b$ nor $(a+b)^2$ has any $\{1,3\}$-inverse. Since $(a+b)^m = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(a+b) & m \text{ is odd} \\ (-1)^{\frac{m}{2}+1}(a+b)^2 & m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$, we conclude that $(a+b)^m$ has no $\{1,3\}$-inverse for arbitrary positive integer m. Hence $a+b$ is not *-DMP.*

3 Pseudo core decomposition

Core-nilpotent decomposition was introduced in [2] for complex matrices. Later, P. Patrício and R. Puystjens [18] generalized this decomposition from complex matrices to rings. Let $a \in R$ with a^{D_m} exists. The sum $a = c_a + n_a$ is called the core-nilpotent decomposition of a, where $c_a = aa^{D_m}a$ is the core part of a, $n_a = (1 - aa^{D_m})a$ is the nilpotent part of a. This decomposition is unique and it brings $n_a^m = 0$, $c_a n_a = n_a c_a = 0$, $c_a^{\#}$ exists with $c_a^{\#} = a^{D_m}$.

Wang [22] introduced the core-EP decomposition for complex matrices. Let A be a square complex matrix with index m, then $A = A_1 + A_2$, where $A_1^{\#}$ exists, $A_2^m = 0$ and $A_1^* A_2 = A_2 A_1 = 0$. In the following, we give the pseudo core decomposition of an element in $*$-rings. Since the pseudo core inverse of a square complex matrix always exists and coincides with its core-EP inverse, then the pseudo core decomposition and core-EP decomposition of a square complex matrix coincide.

Theorem 3.1. (Pseudo core decomposition) *Let $a \in R$ with $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists. Then $a = a_1 + a_2$, where*
(1) *$a_1^{\#}$ exists;*
(2) *$a_2^m = 0$;*
(3) *$a_1^* a_2 = a_2 a_1 = 0$.*

Proof. Since $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists. Take $a_1 = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$ and $a_2 = a - aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$, then $a_2^m = 0$ and $a_1^*a_2 = a_2a_1 = 0$. Next, we will prove that $a_1^{\#}$ exists. In fact,

$$a_1 = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a = (aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a)^2(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^2a \in a_1^2R \text{ and } a_1 = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}(aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a)^2 \in Ra_1^2.$$

Hence $a_1^{\#}$ exists with $a_1^{\#} = (a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^2a$ (see [9, Proposition 7]). □

Theorem 3.2. *The pseudo core decomposition of an element in R is unique.*

Proof. The proof is similar to [22, Theorem 2.4], the matrices case. We give the proof for completeness.

Let $a = a_1 + a_2$ be the pseudo core decomposition of $a \in R$, where $a_1 = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$, $a_2 = a - aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$. Let $a = b_1 + b_2$ be another pseudo core decomposition of a. Then $a^m = \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_1^i b_2^{m-i}$. Since $b_1^*b_2 = 0$ and $b_2^m = 0$, then $(a^m)^*b_2 = 0$. Since $b_2b_1 = 0$, then $a^mb_1(b_1^m)^{\#} = b_1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 - a_1 &= b_1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a = b_1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}b_1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}b_2 = b_1 - a^m(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^mb_1 - [a^m(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^m]^*b_2 \\ &= b_1 - a^m(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^ma^mb_1(b_1^m)^{\#} = b_1 - a^mb_1(b_1^m)^{\#} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $b_1 = a_1$. Hence the pseudo core decomposition of a is unique. □

Next, we exhibit some applications of the pseudo core decomposition. First, we give a characterization of the pseudo core inverse by using the pseudo core decomposition.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $a \in R$ with $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists and let the pseudo core decomposition of a be as in Theorem 3.1. Then $a_1^{\textcircled{\#}} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$.*

Proof. Suppose $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists, then a^{D_m} and $(a^m)^{(1,3)}$ exist by Lemma 2.1 (1), as well as
$a^{\textcircled{D}_m}(a_1)^2 = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}(aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a)^2 = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a = a_1$; $a_1(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^2 = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a(a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^2 = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$;
$a_1a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}$, which implies $(a_1a^{\textcircled{D}_m})^* = a_1a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$.
We thus get $a_1^{\textcircled{\#}} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$. □

In the following, we use pseudo core decomposition to characterize *-DMP elements.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $a \in R$ with $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists and let the pseudo core decomposition of a be as in Theorem 3.1. Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) *a is *-DMP with index m;*
(2) *a_1 is EP.*

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). a is *-DMP with index m if and only if $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists with $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$ by Theorem 2.10 (1). According to Theorem 3.3, $a_1^{\textcircled{\#}} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$. By a simple calculation, $a_1a_1^{\textcircled{\#}} = aa_1^{\textcircled{\#}} = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}$, and $a_1^{\textcircled{\#}}a_1 = a_1^{\textcircled{\#}}a = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$. So $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a$ is equivalent to $a_1a_1^{\textcircled{\#}} = a_1^{\textcircled{\#}}a_1$, which is equivalent to, a_1 is EP (see [20, Theorem 3.1]). □

Remark 3.5. *If a is *-DMP with index m. Then the pseudo core decomposition of a coincides with its core-nilpotent decomposition. In fact, if a is *-DMP with index m, then $a^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a^{D_m}$ by Lemma* 2.3. *Hence the pseudo core decomposition and core-nilpotent decomposition coincide.*

4 Pseudo core order

In the following, $R^{\textcircled{\#}}$ and $R^{\textcircled{D}}$ denote the sets of all core invertible and pseudo core invertible elements in R, respectively. $R^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ and $R_{\textcircled{D}_m}$ denote the sets of all pseudo core invertible and dual pseudo core invertible elements of index m, respectively.

Baksalary and Trenkler [1] introduced the core partial order for complex matrices of index one. Then, Rakić and Djordjević [21] generalized the core partial order from complex matrices to $*$-rings. Let $a, b \in R^{\textcircled{\#}}$, the core partial order $a \overset{\textcircled{\#}}{\leq} b$ was defined as

$$a \overset{\textcircled{\#}}{\leq} b : \ a^{\textcircled{\#}}a = a^{\textcircled{\#}}b \text{ and } aa^{\textcircled{\#}} = ba^{\textcircled{\#}}.$$

In [22], Wang introduced the core-EP order for complex matrices. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, the core-EP order $A \overset{\textcircled{\dagger}}{\leq} B$ was defined as

$$A \overset{\textcircled{\dagger}}{\leq} B : \ A^{\textcircled{\dagger}}A = A^{\textcircled{\dagger}}B \text{ and } AA^{\textcircled{\dagger}} = BA^{\textcircled{\dagger}},$$

where $A^{\textcircled{\dagger}}$ denotes the core-EP inverse [12] of A.

One can see [6], [13] for a deep study of partial order.

In what follows, we generalize the core-EP order from complex matrices to $*$-rings and give some properties.

Definition 4.1. *Let $a, b \in R^{\textcircled{D}}$. The pseudo core order $a \overset{\textcircled{D}}{\leq} b$ is defined as*

$$a \overset{\textcircled{D}}{\leq} b : \ a^{\textcircled{D}}a = a^{\textcircled{D}}b \text{ and } aa^{\textcircled{D}} = ba^{\textcircled{D}}. \tag{4.1}$$

We extend some results of the core-EP order [22] from matrices to an arbitrary $*$-ring. First, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *The pseudo core order is not a partial order but merely a pre-order.*

Proof. It is clear that the pseudo core order (4.1) is reflexive. Let $a, b, c \in R^{\textcircled{D}}$, $a \overset{\textcircled{D}}{\leq} b$ and $b \overset{\textcircled{D}}{\leq} c$. Next, we prove $a \overset{\textcircled{D}}{\leq} c$.

Suppose $k = max\{I(a), I(b)\}$. From $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = ba^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $bb^{\textcircled{D}} = cb^{\textcircled{D}}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} aa^{\textcircled{D}} = ba^{\textcircled{D}} &= ba(a^{\textcircled{D}})^2 = b^2(a^{\textcircled{D}})^2 = b^{k+1}(a^{\textcircled{D}})^{k+1} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}b^{k+1}(a^{\textcircled{D}})^{k+1} = cb^{\textcircled{D}}b^{k+1}(a^{\textcircled{D}})^{k+1} \\ &= cb^k(a^{\textcircled{D}})^{k+1} = cb(a^{\textcircled{D}})^2 = ca^{\textcircled{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = ba^{\textcircled{D}}$, then $a^{\textcircled{D}} = a^{\textcircled{D}}(aa^{\textcircled{D}})^* = a^{\textcircled{D}}(ba^{\textcircled{D}})^* = a^{\textcircled{D}}[b^k(a^{\textcircled{D}})^k]^* = a^{\textcircled{D}}[bb^{\textcircled{D}}b^k(a^{\textcircled{D}})^k]^* = a^{\textcircled{D}}[b^k(a^{\textcircled{D}})^k]^*bb^{\textcircled{D}}$. Equalities $a^{\textcircled{D}}a = a^{\textcircled{D}}b$, $b^{\textcircled{D}}b = b^{\textcircled{D}}c$ and $a^{\textcircled{D}} = [b^k(a^{\textcircled{D}})^k]^*bb^{\textcircled{D}}$ yield that $a^{\textcircled{D}}a = a^{\textcircled{D}}b = [b^k(a^{\textcircled{D}})^k]^*bb^{\textcircled{D}}b = [b^k(a^{\textcircled{D}})^k]^*bb^{\textcircled{D}}c = a^{\textcircled{D}}c$.
We thus have $a \overset{\textcircled{D}}{\leq} c$.
However, the pseudo core order is not anti-symmetric (see [22, Example 4.1]). □

The following result give some characterizations of the pseudo core order, generalizing [22, Theorem 4.2] from matrices to an arbitrary $*$-ring.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $a, b \in R^{\textcircled{D}}$ with $k = max\{I(a), I(b)\}$ and let the pseudo core decomposition of a, b be as in Theorem 3.1. Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) $a \overset{\textcircled{D}}{\leq} b$;
(2) $a^{k+1} = ba^k$ *and* $a^*a^k = b^*a^k$;
(3) $a_1 \overset{\#}{\leq} b_1$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Post-multiply $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = ba^{\textcircled{D}}$ by a^{k+1}, then we derive $a^{k+1} = ba^k$. From $a^{\textcircled{D}}a = a^{\textcircled{D}}b$, it follows that $a^*(a^{\textcircled{D}})^* = b^*(a^{\textcircled{D}})^*$. Post-multiply this equality by a^*a^k, then $a^*a^k = b^*a^k$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Equality $a^*a^k = b^*a^k$ yields that $(a^k)^*a = (a^k)^*b$. Pre-multiply this equality by $a^{\textcircled{D}}((a^{\textcircled{D}})^k)^*$, then $a^{\textcircled{D}}a = a^{\textcircled{D}}b$. Post-multiply $a^{k+1} = ba^k$ by $(a^{\textcircled{D}})^{k+1}$, then $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = ba^{\textcircled{D}}$.
(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). From Theorem 3.3 and $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = ba^{\textcircled{D}}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1a_1^{\#} = aa_1^{\#} = aa^{\textcircled{D}} = ba^{\textcircled{D}} = ba(a^{\textcircled{D}})^2 = b^2(a^{\textcircled{D}})^2 = \cdots = b^k(a^{\textcircled{D}})^k = bb^{\textcircled{D}}b^k(a^{\textcircled{D}})^k \\ = bb^{\textcircled{D}}ba^{\textcircled{D}} = b_1a_1^{\#}. \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, we have $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$ by taking an involution on $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}ba^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}}$. So $a^{\textcircled{D}} = a^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$. Therefore $a_1^{\#}a_1 = a_1^{\#}a = a^{\textcircled{D}}a = a^{\textcircled{D}}b = a^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}b = a_1^{\#}b_1$.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Since $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = a_1a_1^{\#} = b_1a_1^{\#} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}ba^{\textcircled{D}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} aa^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}baa^{\textcircled{D}}a^{\textcircled{D}} = (bb^{\textcircled{D}}b)^2(a^{\textcircled{D}})^2 = bb^{\textcircled{D}}bb^k(b^{\textcircled{D}})^kb(a^{\textcircled{D}})^2 = b(bb^{\textcircled{D}}ba^{\textcircled{D}})a^{\textcircled{D}} = ba(a^{\textcircled{D}})^2 \\ = ba^{\textcircled{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

Equalities $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}ba^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = ba^{\textcircled{D}}$ yield that $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$. Therefore $a^{\textcircled{D}} = a^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$. Hence $a^{\textcircled{D}}b = a^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}b = a_1^{\#}b_1 = a_1^{\#}a_1 = a^{\textcircled{D}}a$. □

Wang and Chen [23] gave some equivalences to $a \overset{\#}{\leq} b$ under the assumption that a is EP. Similarly, we give a characterization of $a \overset{\textcircled{D}}{\leq} b$ whenever a is *-DMP. In the following result, c_a and c_b are the core part of the core-nilpotent decomposition of a, b respectively.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $a, b \in R^{\textcircled{D}}$. If a is *-DMP, then the following are equivalent:*
(1) $a \overset{\textcircled{D}}{\leq} b$;

(2) $c_a \overset{\#}{\leq} c_b$;
(3) $a^{\mathbb{D}}b^{\mathbb{D}} = b^{\mathbb{D}}a^{\mathbb{D}}$ *and* $a^{\mathbb{D}}b = a^{\mathbb{D}}a$;
(4) $a^{\mathbb{D}} \overset{\mathbb{D}}{\leq} b^{\mathbb{D}}$ *and* $a^{\mathbb{D}}b = a^{\mathbb{D}}a$.

Proof. Let $k = \max\{I(a),\ I(b)\}$. If a is *-DMP, then $a^{\mathbb{D}} = a^D$ by Lemma 2.3 and $aa^{\mathbb{D}} = a^{\mathbb{D}}a$ by Theorem 2.10.
(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). $a^{\mathbb{D}} = c_a^{\#}$ (see [7, Theorem 2.9]) and $a^{\mathbb{D}}a = a^{\mathbb{D}}b$ imply $c_a^{\#}a = c_a^{\#}b$. From $a^{\mathbb{D}}b = a^{\mathbb{D}}a = aa^{\mathbb{D}} = ba^{\mathbb{D}}$, we have $a^{\mathbb{D}}b^D = b^D a^{\mathbb{D}}$. So, $a^{\mathbb{D}}bb^Db = bb^Dba^{\mathbb{D}} = bb^Db^k(a^{\mathbb{D}})^k = b^k(a^{\mathbb{D}})^k = aa^{\mathbb{D}}$. Therefore $c_a^{\#}c_b = c_bc_a^{\#} = c_ac_a^{\#} = c_a^{\#}c_a$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). $aa^{\mathbb{D}} = c_ac_a^{\#} = c_bc_a^{\#} = bb^Dba^{\mathbb{D}} = (bb^Db)^2(a^{\mathbb{D}})^2 = b^2b^Db(a^{\mathbb{D}})^2 = b(bb^Dba^{\mathbb{D}})a^{\mathbb{D}} = baa^{\mathbb{D}}a^{\mathbb{D}} = ba^{\mathbb{D}}$, and $a^{\mathbb{D}}a = c_a^{\#}c_a = c_a^{\#}c_b = a^{\mathbb{D}}bb^Db = a^{\mathbb{D}}a^{\mathbb{D}}(bb^Db)^2 = a^{\mathbb{D}}a^{\mathbb{D}}ab = a^{\mathbb{D}}b$.
(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). From $a^{\mathbb{D}}a = a^{\mathbb{D}}b$ and $aa^{\mathbb{D}} = ba^{\mathbb{D}}$, it follows that

$$aa^{\mathbb{D}}b = aa^{\mathbb{D}}a = ba^{\mathbb{D}}a = baa^{\mathbb{D}},$$

which forces $aa^{\mathbb{D}}b^{\mathbb{D}} = b^{\mathbb{D}}aa^{\mathbb{D}} = b^{\mathbb{D}}b^{k+1}(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{k+1} = b^k(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{k+1} = a^{\mathbb{D}}$. So $a^{\mathbb{D}}b^{\mathbb{D}} = (a^{\mathbb{D}})^2 = b^{\mathbb{D}}a^{\mathbb{D}}$.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). $ba^{\mathbb{D}} = b(a^{\mathbb{D}})^2a = b(a^{\mathbb{D}})^2b = b(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{k+1}b^k = b(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{k+1}b^{\mathbb{D}}b^{k+1} = bb^{\mathbb{D}}(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{k+1}b^{k+1} = bb^{\mathbb{D}}aa^{\mathbb{D}}$, together with $aa^{\mathbb{D}} = a^{\mathbb{D}}a = a^{\mathbb{D}}b = (a^{\mathbb{D}})^kb^k = (a^{\mathbb{D}})^kb^{\mathbb{D}}b^{k+1} = b^{\mathbb{D}}(a^{\mathbb{D}})^kb^{k+1} = bb^{\mathbb{D}}aa^{\mathbb{D}}$, implies $aa^{\mathbb{D}} = ba^{\mathbb{D}}$.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). From $a^{\mathbb{D}}b^{\mathbb{D}} = b^{\mathbb{D}}a^{\mathbb{D}}$, it follows that (1) holds and

$$\begin{aligned}(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{\mathbb{D}}a^{\mathbb{D}} &= a^2(a^{\mathbb{D}})^2 = a^2b^k(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{k+2} = a^2b^{\mathbb{D}}b^{k+1}(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{k+2} = a^2b^{\mathbb{D}}a(a^{\mathbb{D}})^2\\ &= a^2b^{\mathbb{D}}a^{\mathbb{D}} = a^2a^{\mathbb{D}}b^{\mathbb{D}} = (a^{\mathbb{D}})^{\mathbb{D}}b^{\mathbb{D}},\\ a^{\mathbb{D}}(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{\mathbb{D}} &= a^{\mathbb{D}}a^2a^{\mathbb{D}} = aa^{\mathbb{D}} = b^{\mathbb{D}}a^2a^{\mathbb{D}} = b^{\mathbb{D}}(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{\mathbb{D}}.\end{aligned}$$

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Since $(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{\mathbb{D}}a^{\mathbb{D}} = (a^{\mathbb{D}})^{\mathbb{D}}b^{\mathbb{D}}$ and $a^{\mathbb{D}}(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{\mathbb{D}} = b^{\mathbb{D}}(a^{\mathbb{D}})^{\mathbb{D}}$, then we obtain $aa^{\mathbb{D}} = a^2a^{\mathbb{D}}b^{\mathbb{D}}$ and $aa^{\mathbb{D}} = b^{\mathbb{D}}a^2a^{\mathbb{D}}$. So $b^{\mathbb{D}}a^{\mathbb{D}} = (a^{\mathbb{D}})^2 = a^{\mathbb{D}}b^{\mathbb{D}}$. □

Wang and Chen [23] proved that if $a \overset{*}{\leq} b$, $a^\dagger$ exists, then $b^\dagger$ exists if and only if $[b(1-aa^\dagger)]^\dagger$ exists. Similarly, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $a,\ b \in R^{\mathbb{D}}$ with $a \overset{\mathbb{D}}{\leq} b$. Suppose a is *-DMP. Then b is *-DMP if and only if $b(1 - aa^{\mathbb{D}})$ is *-DMP.*

Proof. From $a^{\mathbb{D}}a = a^{\mathbb{D}}b$ and $aa^{\mathbb{D}} = ba^{\mathbb{D}}$, it follows that

$$aa^{\mathbb{D}}b = aa^{\mathbb{D}}a = ba^{\mathbb{D}}a = baa^{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Suppose that b is *-DMP, then $bb^{\mathbb{D}} = b^{\mathbb{D}}b$. Next, we prove $[b(1 - aa^{\mathbb{D}})]^{\mathbb{D}} = b^{\mathbb{D}} - a^{\mathbb{D}}$. In fact, suppose $I(b) = k$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(b^{\mathbb{D}} - a^{\mathbb{D}})[b(1 - aa^{\mathbb{D}})]^{k+1} &= (b^{\mathbb{D}} - a^{\mathbb{D}})b^{k+1}(1 - aa^{\mathbb{D}}) = b^k(1 - aa^{\mathbb{D}}) - a^{\mathbb{D}}b^{k+1}(1 - aa^{\mathbb{D}})\\ &= b^k(1 - aa^{\mathbb{D}}) = [b(1 - aa^{\mathbb{D}})]^k;\end{aligned}$$

$b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})(b^{\textcircled{D}}-a^{\textcircled{D}})=bb^{\textcircled{D}}-aa^{\textcircled{D}}$;
$b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})(b^{\textcircled{D}}-a^{\textcircled{D}})^2=(bb^{\textcircled{D}}-aa^{\textcircled{D}})(b^{\textcircled{D}}-a^{\textcircled{D}})=b^{\textcircled{D}}-b^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}}=b^{\textcircled{D}}-a^{\textcircled{D}}$.
We thus have $[b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})]^{\textcircled{D}}=b^{\textcircled{D}}-a^{\textcircled{D}}$.
So, $b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})[b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})]^{\textcircled{D}}=bb^{\textcircled{D}}-aa^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $[b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})]^{\textcircled{D}}b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})=b^{\textcircled{D}}b-b^{\textcircled{D}}baa^{\textcircled{D}}=bb^{\textcircled{D}}-aa^{\textcircled{D}}$.
Therefore, $b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})[b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})]^{\textcircled{D}}=[b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})]^{\textcircled{D}}b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})$. Hence $b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})$ is *-DMP.

Conversely, suppose that $b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})$ is *-DMP. Then, $[b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})]^{\textcircled{D}}=[b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})]^{D}$. We can easily check that

$$(baa^{\textcircled{D}})^{\textcircled{D}}=(baa^{\textcircled{D}})^{\#}=a^{\textcircled{D}}.$$

Since $b=b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})+baa^{\textcircled{D}}$, $b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})baa^{\textcircled{D}}=b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})aa^{\textcircled{D}}b=0$, $baa^{\textcircled{D}}b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})=baa^{\textcircled{D}}(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})b=0$, and $(baa^{\textcircled{D}})^*b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})=b^*aa^{\textcircled{D}}(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})b=0$, then $b^{\textcircled{D}}=[b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})]^{\textcircled{D}}+a^{\textcircled{D}}$ (see [7, Theorem 4.4]) and $b^D=[b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})]^D+(baa^{\textcircled{D}})^{\#}=[b(1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})]^D+a^{\textcircled{D}}$. Thus, b is *-DMP. □

5 Characterizations for $aa^{\textcircled{D}}=bb^{\textcircled{D}}$

Let $a,\ b\in R$. If $a^{\odot}$ and $b^{\odot}$ are some kind of generalized inverses of a and b. It is very interesting to discuss whether $aa^{\odot}=bb^{\odot}$. Koliha et al. [11, Theorem 6.1], Mosić et al. [16, Theorem 3.7] and Patrício et al. [17, Theorem 2.3] gave some equivalences for generalized Drazin inverses, image-kernel (p,q)-inverses and Moore-Penrose inverses, respectively. Here we give a characterization for $aa^{\textcircled{D}}=bb^{\textcircled{D}}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $a,\ b\in R^{\textcircled{D}}$. Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) $aa^{\textcircled{D}}=bb^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}}$;
(2) $aa^{\textcircled{D}}=aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$;
(3) $a^{\textcircled{D}}=a^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$;
(4) $Ra^{\textcircled{D}}\subseteq Ra^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) by taking an involution.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Pre-multiply $a^{\textcircled{D}}$ by $aa^{\textcircled{D}}=aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$, then we get $a^{\textcircled{D}}=a^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) is clear.
(4) $\Rightarrow$ (2) From $Ra^{\textcircled{D}}\subseteq Ra^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$, it follows that $a^{\textcircled{D}}=xa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$ for some $x\in R$. Then, $aa^{\textcircled{D}}=axa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}=(axa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}})bb^{\textcircled{D}}=aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$. □

The above proposition gives some equivalences to $aa^{\textcircled{D}}=bb^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}}$, which enrich the following result. R^{-1} denotes all the invertible elements in R.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $a,\ b\in R^{\textcircled{D}}$ with $I(a)=m$. Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) $aa^{\textcircled{D}}=bb^{\textcircled{D}}$;
(2) $aa^{\textcircled{D}}=aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$ *and* $u=aa^{\textcircled{D}}+1-bb^{\textcircled{D}}\in R^{-1}$;
(3) $aa^{\textcircled{D}}=aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$ *and* $v=a^m+1-bb^{\textcircled{D}}\in R^{-1}$;
(4) $aa^{\textcircled{D}}$ *commutes with* $bb^{\textcircled{D}}$ *and* $s=bb^{\textcircled{D}}+1-aa^{\textcircled{D}}\in R^{-1}$;

(5) $aa^{\textcircled{D}}$ *commutes with* $bb^{\textcircled{D}}$ *and* $w = 1-(aa^{\textcircled{D}}-bb^{\textcircled{D}})^2 \in R^{-1}$;
(6) $aa^{\textcircled{D}}$ *commutes with* $bb^{\textcircled{D}}$ *and* $b^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}} - a^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}} = b^{\textcircled{D}} - a^{\textcircled{D}}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)-(6) is clear.
(2)$\Leftrightarrow$(3). Since $a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ exists, then a^{D_m} exists by Lemma 2.1. So $(a^m)^{\#}$ exists. Therefore $a^m+1-aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} \in R^{-1}$ (see [19, Theorem 1]). From $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$, it follows that $aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}} = aa^{\textcircled{D}}$ by Proposition 5.1. Observe that $(aa^{\textcircled{D}}+1-bb^{\textcircled{D}})(a^m+1-aa^{\textcircled{D}}) = a^m+1-bb^{\textcircled{D}}$, and hence $u \in R^{-1}$ if and only if $v \in R^{-1}$.
(3)$\Rightarrow$(1). Notice that $aa^{\textcircled{D}}v = a^m + aa^{\textcircled{D}} - aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}} = a^m$ and $bb^{\textcircled{D}}v = bb^{\textcircled{D}}a^m = bb^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}}a^m = aa^{\textcircled{D}}a^m = a^m$. Therefore $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}$.
(4)$\Rightarrow$(1). Since $saa^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}} = aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $bb^{\textcircled{D}}s = bb^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}} = aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$. Therefore $saa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}} = saa^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}s = bb^{\textcircled{D}}s$. Hence $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}$.
(5)$\Rightarrow$(4). Note that $1-(aa^{\textcircled{D}}-bb^{\textcircled{D}})^2 = (bb^{\textcircled{D}}+1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})(aa^{\textcircled{D}}+1-bb^{\textcircled{D}}) = (aa^{\textcircled{D}}+1-bb^{\textcircled{D}})(bb^{\textcircled{D}}+1-aa^{\textcircled{D}})$. Hence $w \in R^{-1}$ implies $s \in R^{-1}$.
(6)$\Rightarrow$(1). Post-multiply $b^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}} - a^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}} = b^{\textcircled{D}} - a^{\textcircled{D}}$ by $aa^{\textcircled{D}}$, then $b^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}} - a^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}} = b^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}} - a^{\textcircled{D}}$. So, $a^{\textcircled{D}} = a^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}} = a^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}}$. Therefore, $b^{\textcircled{D}} = b^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}}$. Hence $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = aa^{\textcircled{D}}bb^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}$. □

Take $b = a^*$ in Theorem 5.2, then we obtain a characterization of *-DMP elements by applying Theorem 2.9.

Corollary 5.3. *Let* $a \in R^{\textcircled{D}_m} \cap R_{\textcircled{D}_m}$. *Then the following are equivalent:*
(1) a *is* *-*DMP with index* m;
(2) $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a$;
(3) $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a$ *and* $u = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} + 1 - a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a \in R^{-1}$;
(4) $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} = aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a$ *and* $v = a^m + 1 - a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a \in R^{-1}$;
(5) $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ *commutes with* $a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a$ *and* $v = a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a + 1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} \in R^{-1}$;
(6) $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ *commutes with* $a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a$ *and* $w = 1-(aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} - a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a)^2 \in R^{-1}$;
(7) $aa^{\textcircled{D}_m}$ *commutes with* $a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a$ *and* $a^*_{\textcircled{D}_m}aa^{\textcircled{D}_m} - a^{\textcircled{D}_m}a_{\textcircled{D}_m}a = a^*_{\textcircled{D}_m} - a^{\textcircled{D}_m}$.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11371089), the Scientific Innovation Research of College Graduates in Jiangsu Province (No.KYZZ16_0112), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No.BK20141327).

References

[1] O.M. Baksalary, G. Trenkler. Core inverse of matrices, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 58 (2010) 681-697.

[2] A. Ben-Israel, T.N.E. Greville. Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974; 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 2002.

[3] N. Castro-González, J.Y. Vélez-Cerrada. Elements of rings and Banach algebras with related spectral idempotents, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 80 (2006) 383-396.

[4] W. Chen. On EP elements, normal elements and partial isometries in rings with involution. Electron. J. Linear Algebra 23 (2012) 553-561.

[5] M.P. Drazin. Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, Amer. Math. Monthly, 65 (1958) 506-514.

[6] M.P. Drazin. Natural structures on semigroups with involution, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 84 (1978) 139-141.

[7] Y.F. Gao, J.L. Chen. Pseudo core inverses in rings with involution, arXiv: 1609.02798v1 [math.RA], 2016.

[8] R.E. Harte, M. Mbekhta. On generalized inverses in C*-algebras, Studia Math. 103 (1992) 71-77.

[9] R.E. Hartwig. Block generalized inverses, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 61 (1976) 197- 251.

[10] R.E. Hartwig, I.J. Katz. Products of EP elements in reflexive semi-groups, Linear Algebra Appl. 14 (1976) 11-19.

[11] J.J. Koliha, P. Patrício. Elements of rings with equal spectral idempotents, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 72 (2002) 137-152.

[12] K. Manjunatha Prasad, K.S. Mohana. Core-EP inverse, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 62 (2014) 792-802.

[13] S.K. Mitra, P. Bhimasankaram, S.B. Malik. Matrix Partial Orders, Shorted Operators and Applications, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, N.J., 2010.

[14] D. Mosić, D.S. Djordjević. Partial isometries and EP elements in rings with involution, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 18 (2009) 761-772.

[15] D. Mosić, D.S. Djordjević, J.J. Koliha. EP elements in rings, Linear Algebra Appl. 431 (2009) 527-535.

[16] D. Mosić, D.S. Djordjević, G. Kantún-Montiel. Image-Kernel (P, Q)-inverses in rings, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 27 (2014) 272-283.

[17] P. Patrício, C.M. Araújo. Moore-Penrose invertibility in involutory rings: the case $aa^\dagger = bb^\dagger$, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 58 (2010) 445-452.

[18] P. Patrício, R. Puystjens. Drazin-Moore-Penrose invertibility in rings, Linear Algebra Appl., 389 (2004) 159-173.

[19] R. Puystjens, R.E. Hartwig. The group invers of a companion matrix, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 43 (1997) 137-150.

[20] D.S. Rakić, N.Č. Dinčić, D.S. Djordjević. Group, Moore-Penrose, core and dual core inverse in rings with involution, Linear Algebra Appl., 463 (2014) 115-133.

[21] D.S. Rakić, D.S. Djordjević. Star, sharp, core and dual core partial order in rings with involution, Appl. Math. Comput., 259 (2015) 800-818.

[22] H.X. Wang. Core-EP decomposition and its applications, Linear Algebra Appl., 508 (2016) 289-300.

[23] L. Wang, J.L. Chen. Further results on partial ordering and the generalized inverses, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 63 (2015) 2419-2429.

[24] S.Z. Xu, J.L. Chen. EP elements in rings with involution, arXiv: 1602.08184v1 [math.RA], 2016.

[25] S.Z. Xu, J.L. Chen, X.X. Zhang. New characterizations for core inverses in rings with involution, Front. Math. China, 12 (2017) 231-246.

[26] G.F. Zhuang, J.L. Chen, D.S. Cvetkovíc-Ilíc, Y.M. Wei. Additive property of Drazin invertibility of elements in a ring, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 60 (2012) 903-910.